

## EVALUATION OF RELEASED MAIZE HYBRIDS TO HASTEN COMMERCIALIZATION IN COASTAL LOWLAND OF KENYA

*Muli, M.B.<sup>1</sup>, Karanja, J.<sup>2</sup>, Makumbi, D.<sup>3</sup> and Beyene, Y.<sup>3</sup>*

<sup>1</sup>*Kenya Agricultural and Livestock Research Organization-Mtwapa, P. O. Box 16-80109, Mtwapa, Kenya*

<sup>2</sup>*Kenya Agricultural and Livestock Research Organization-Katumani, P. O. Box 340-90100, Machakos, Kenya*

<sup>3</sup>*International Maize and Wheat Improvement Centre (CIMMYT), P. O. Box 1041-00621, Nairobi, Kenya*

*E-mail: kalro.mtwapa@kalro.org, musmuli2@gmail.com*

### ABSTRACT

The coastal region of Kenya is a food deficit area with households purchasing a third of their food requirements. Although maize is the most important food crop, the region produces 1.56 million bags, while the demand is 3.80 million bags. This could partly be attributed to frequent droughts, inadequate number of improved hybrids adaptable to the region and also to poor crop management practices including planting patterns. Several high yielding hybrids have been released for the region over the last few years but they have not been commercialized which would make them accessible to farmers in the region. A study was conducted in 2014 and 2015 to evaluate the performance of released hybrids under two maize planting patterns (one and two seeds per hill) in a split plot design with planting pattern as the main plot and maize hybrids as the sub-plot. Five maize hybrids (CKH08069, PH4, WE1101, WE2109 and WE2111) were evaluated under the two planting patterns. The results indicated that hybrids WE1101 and CKH08069 had significantly higher ( $P<0.05$ ) grain yield than the other hybrids including the local check (PH4). Hybrid CKH08069 had significantly higher ear height than all the other hybrids including the local check. The same trend was observed for ear length with the exception of the local check. The planting pattern had no significant influence, except for grain yield where the pattern of one plant per hill had significantly higher grain yield than that of two plants per hill. Since WE1101 has already been commercialized, hybrid CKH08069 should be commercialized and farmers should be encouraged to plant one seed per hill.

**Keywords:** Grain yield, Ear height, Maize hybrids, Plant height, Planting patterns

### INTRODUCTION

Maize is the main staple food in Kenya, produced by more than 90% of households (Waiyaki et al., 2006). It accounts for more than 20% of agricultural production and 25% of employment in the agricultural sector. More than 70% of maize area is cultivated by smallholder farmers in less than 20 hectares of land (Doss et al., 2003). These small farms produce more than 65% of the maize consumed in the country. Land under maize is about 2.1 million hectares with a total production of 3.2 million tons per year (DT Maize, 2015). This accounts for 56% of the annual consumption estimated at 5.7 million tons (FAO 2015).

Maize is the most important food crop on coastal lowland Kenya and is grown in all agro-ecological zones of the region including arid and semi-arid lowland areas more suited for sorghum and millet (KARI, 2005; Wekesa et al., 2003). The region faces a large deficit, while maize is the major staple food, the production for its 2.5 million inhabitants (Central Bureau of Statistics, 2001) amounts to only 20 kg/person compared to the average maize food consumption per person for Kenya estimated at 94 kg/person (Pingali, 2001). The deficit has to be imported from outside the region. Among the major constraints for maize production in coastal lowlands

especially in the semi-arid lands is low soil moisture and inadequate, improved drought tolerant maize hybrids. Average annual rainfall is low in most areas and total annual evapo-transpiration is high. The evapo-transpiration exceeds rainfall in most months of the year (Jaetzold and Schmidt, 1983).

Release of new maize hybrids is constantly taking place in an effort to improve on yields and to widen the choices available for the farmers. However, the region is still constrained by narrow choice of maize hybrids adaptable to the region (Muli, 2000). This is because most of these new hybrids have not been taken up by the seed companies for commercialization probably as a result of high royalties or unawareness. Coast composite maize (CCM) was released in 1979; Pwani Hybrid I (PH1) in 1989 while Pwani hybrid 4 (PH4) was released in 1995. These hybrids were recommended for the medium to high rainfall zones in the region but due to population pressure people have moved to marginal areas considered best suited for extensive grazing and introduced crop farming. It is also a common practice by farmers to plant two seeds per hill but visual observation (Muli et al. 2015) has shown that one of the plants is usually smaller than the other and this is also reflected in grain cob size (Table 3).

Several high yielding hybrids with special attributes such as drought tolerance, water use efficiency and maize stalk borer tolerance have been released for the region over the last few years but they have not been commercialized to be accessible to farmers in the region. For the seed companies to commercialize these new hybrids, they need to be provided with information on yield and other special attributes and the advantages over the existing hybrids in the region. There is also need to prioritize the new hybrids to ensure that only the best is commercialized to maximize profits by the seed companies. To achieve this objective, a study was conducted to evaluate the performance of selected released hybrids under two maize planting patterns (one and two seeds per hill respectively).

### Research Objectives

1. To prioritize released hybrids in terms of yield and farmer preference to enhance their commercialization.
2. To determine the effect of planting pattern on yield and yield components of maize.
3. To determine the criteria used by farmers in selection of maize hybrids and involve them in the prioritization of the new hybrids for commercialization.

## MATERIALS AND METHODS

### Experimental Site

The study was conducted on-farm at Mwabandari in Lungalunga sub-county (Lat. S 04.26.709; Long. E 039 18.540), Kwale county during the long rains seasons of 2014 and 2015. The study area is described as a coconut - cassava zone in Agro-Ecological Zone (AEZ) Coastal Lowland (CL) 3 (Jaetzold and Schmidt, 1983). The altitude of the area is 142 m above sea level. The site has loamy ferrosol soils with a pH of 6.5. The mean annual rainfall for the site is 1100 mm distributed between two seasons of April to August and October to December. The mean monthly minimum and maximum temperatures are about 21 and 28°C, respectively.

### Experimental Design and Agronomic Practices

Newly released drought tolerant and water use efficient maize hybrids: WE1101, WE2109, WE2111 and CKH08069 alongside a commercial check (PH4) were used in this study. The five hybrids were evaluated under two planting patterns of one and two seeds per hill but maintaining the same plant population of 53,333 plants per hectare. The inter-row spacing was 75 cm and within row spacing was 25 cm for one plant per hill and 50 cm for two plants per hill. The treatments were laid out in a split plot design with planting patterns assigned to main plots

and maize hybrids assigned to sub-plots. The treatments were replicated three times. Plots consisted of six rows of maize and the net plots comprised of four rows. Both phosphate and nitrogenous fertilizers were applied at the rate of 46 t ha<sup>-1</sup> P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub> and 60 t ha<sup>-1</sup>, respectively. Phosphate fertilizer was applied at planting alongside the first split of 18 t ha<sup>-1</sup> Nitrogen. Additional nitrogen fertilizer was applied as top-dress at a rate of 42 t ha<sup>-1</sup> four weeks after planting. To control maize stalk-borer, *Bulldock* (0.05 GR 0.5g/kg beta cyfluthrin) was applied at the rate of 8 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> three weeks after planting of the experiment.

### Farmer Evaluation of the Crop

Twenty two farmers were invited to evaluate the maize hybrids at both physiological maturity stage and at harvest on a cross-sectional basis (without differentiating the evaluating panel on gender, age, and other socio-economic variables). The farmers developed the evaluation criteria through consensus and used the same to evaluate the maize hybrids. The criteria at physiological maturity stage were given as: Ear size, maturity period, ear height and husk cover. At harvest the criteria were as follows: Cob size, cob filling, ear rot and grain texture (whether flint or dent). Ear size/cob size was judged in terms of length and husk cover in terms of absence of ears with open husks at the top. Cob filling was judged on whether the whole cob was filled with grain or there was a space left at the top. Maize varietal evaluation was then conducted by pair wise comparison of hybrids and both frequencies and mode determined.

### Data Collection and Analysis

Data were collected on: stand count, plant height, ear height, ear weight, 1000 kernel weight, grain weight and grain moisture content. Stand count entailed counting all the plants in each plot excluding the end plants. Both plant and ear height were measured using a metre rule and recorded in centimetres. The harvested maize was shelled, grains weighed and moisture content measured using a moisture meter.

Agronomic data (stand count, plant height, ear height, ear weight, 1000 kernel weight and grain weight) were subjected to analysis of variance using the linear model in SAS 9.3.1 portable:

$$Y_{ijk} = \mu + \alpha_i + \beta_j + (\alpha\beta)_{ij} + \gamma_k + (\alpha\gamma)_{ik} + \epsilon_{ijk};$$

Where: i = 1,...,a indexes the main plot levels

j = 1,...,b indexes the blocks (replications)

k = 1,...,r indexes the subplot levels

The variance associated with  $(\alpha\beta)_{ij}$  (Error 1) is used to test the main plot effects. The variance associated with  $\epsilon_{ijk}$  (Error 2) is used to test the subplot and interaction effects.

## RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Analysis of variance results for the five maize hybrids under the planting patterns is summarized in Table 1. The results presented are for the combined two subsequent long rainy seasons of the study period. There was no significant ( $P < 0.05$ ) interaction between the hybrid and the planting patterns and, therefore, the main effects of the two factors are presented separately.

Plant height is strongly associated with the flowering date both morphologically and ontogenetically, because internode formation stops at floral initiation,

which means that earlier flowering maize is usually shorter (Troyer and Larkins, 1985). All the hybrids did not reveal any significant ( $P < 0.05$ ) difference as regards plant height. There is a correlation between maize earliness and the ear height. The higher the ear is, the later the plant matures (Troyer and Larkins, 1985). For ear height, significant ( $P < 0.05$ ) differences were observed among the hybrids. (Table 2). Hybrid CKH08069 showed significantly ( $P < 0.05$ ) higher ear height than the other hybrids including the check. Only hybrids WE2109 and WE2111 revealed significantly ( $P < 0.05$ ) lower ear placement than the check (Table 2).

**Table 1: Combined analysis of variance for the maize hybrids in two planting patterns in 2014 and 2015**

Source	df	Type III SS	Mean Square	F Value	Pr > F
Rep	2	6.52200000	3.26100000	9.95	0.0068
Planting Pattern	1	5.46133333	5.46133333	16.67	0.0035
Error 1	2	0.26866667	0.13433333	0.41	0.6769
Hybrid	4	10.37800000	2.59450000	7.92	0.0069
Planting Pattern *Hybrid	4	3.14866667	0.78716667	2.40	0.1356
Error 2	8	2.10800000	0.26350000	0.80	0.6173

**Table 2: Mean effect of maize hybrid parameters for the two seasons**

Maize hybrid	Plant height (cm)	Ear height (cm)	Ear length (cm)	1000 Kernel weight (g)	Grain yield (t ha <sup>-1</sup> )
WE2109	221.9 <sup>a?</sup>	95.7 <sup>c</sup>	16.0 <sup>b</sup>	281.0 <sup>b</sup>	5.96 <sup>b</sup>
WE2111	216.7 <sup>a?</sup>	92.1 <sup>c</sup>	16.6 <sup>b</sup>	278.7 <sup>b</sup>	5.22 <sup>c</sup>
PH4	215.6 <sup>a?</sup>	107.0 <sup>b</sup>	17.3 <sup>ab</sup>	288.5 <sup>b</sup>	5.75 <sup>b</sup>
WE1101	215.2 <sup>a?</sup>	101.6 <sup>b</sup>	17.2 <sup>ab</sup>	331.2 <sup>a</sup>	6.80 <sup>a</sup>
CKH08069	209.6 <sup>a?</sup>	112.8 <sup>a</sup>	17.9 <sup>a</sup>	297.9 <sup>b</sup>	6.67 <sup>a</sup>
<b>CV (%)</b>	<b>2.8</b>	<b>9.8</b>	<b>3.7</b>	<b>3.1</b>	<b>9.4</b>
<b>LSD (P&lt;0.05)</b>	<b>17.66</b>	<b>5.58</b>	<b>1.32</b>	<b>27.55</b>	<b>0.68</b>

Column means followed by the same superscript are not significantly ( $P = 0.05$ ) different

Ear height is an important factor since it has a bearing on stem lodging and also damage by domestic animals. Plants with very high ear height are prone to stem lodging and plants with very short ear height are liable to damage by domestic animals. As regards the parameter, significant ( $P < 0.05$ ) differences were observed between CKH08069 and both WE2109 and WE2111. The same trend was observed for a 1000 kernel weight with an exception of WE1101 which showed significantly ( $P < 0.05$ ) higher 1000 kernel weight than the rest of the hybrids including the check. The 1,000 kernel (1,000 K) weight is a measure of seed size (Alberta Agriculture and forestry, 2007). It is the weight in grams of 1,000 seeds. Seed size and the 1,000 K weight can vary between varieties of the same crop and even from year to year. By using the 1,000 K weight, one can account for seed size variations when calculating seeding rates per acreage.

Both ear length and 1000 kernel weight play a big role in determining the final grain yield. Inamula et al. (2011) and Rafique et al. (2004) reported positive correlations ( $P < 0.05$ ) between ear length, 1000 kernel weight and grain yield. Grain yield for the hybrids ranged from 5.22 to 6.8 t ha<sup>-1</sup> for WE2111 and WE1101, respectively (Table 2). The yields were higher compared to the expected yield for existing commercial hybrids and this was attributed to high yield potential of some of the test hybrids and favourable weather conditions during the cropping season, especially in 2015. Hybrids, WE1101 and CKH08069 had significantly ( $P < 0.05$ ) higher grain yield than the other test hybrids and the local check. However, hybrid WE2111 showed significantly ( $P < 0.05$ ) lower grain yield than the check. There were no significant ( $P < 0.05$ ) yield differences between WE2109 and the check.

The pattern of planting had a significant ( $P < 0.05$ ) influence on plant height, ear length and grain yield but not ear height and a 1000 kernel weight (Table 3). One seed per hill had significantly taller plants than the two seeds per hill. This result also confirmed the visual observation that when two seeds are planted per hill, one of the plants is always smaller than the other and this observation is also reflected in ear size. This was attributed to intra-plant competition for growth resources.

As visually observed, the treatment of one plant per hill revealed significantly ( $P < 0.05$ ) longer ear length than that of two seeds per hill. Though not significant, the one seed per hill treatment had higher 1000 kernel weight than that of two seeds per hill. The results also showed that significantly ( $P < 0.05$ ) higher grain yield was obtained for the one plant per hill treatment than that of two seeds per hill. These observations were expected because ear length and a 1000 kernel weight are important components of final grain yield.

#### Farmer evaluation of the hybrids at vegetative stage and at harvest

From farmer evaluation, hybrids were selected and ranked based on attributes discussed herein. Farmers ranked hybrid CKH08069 as number one because it

scored highly in ear size, early maturity and ear height (Table 4). Farmers justified their selections/scores as follows: Ear size is an indicator of yield and early maturity guarantees early access to food during the season. The results agreed with those of Zaire et al. (2012) who documented positive correlations of cob length and yield among maize hybrids in Iran. The farmers also attributed ear height to protection against damage by domestic animals such as dogs and chicken.

At harvest, hybrid WE1101 scored highly in all the attributes used by the farmers. A very high score was given for ear size and grain texture (Table 5). The results demonstrated transitivity and preference for cob size as having linear relationship with grain yield as reported by Ragenwetter et al. (2011). Grain texture has a bearing on grain recovery when maize is pounded which is a common practice by farmers in the study area. Both CKH08069 and WE1101 are superior hybrids that can improve food security. As reported by farmers, the harvests emanating from their local maize varieties usually last for only three months. With improved yields from the new hybrids, this period of food security can be improved to five or more months hence bridging the gap of availability between seasons.

**Table 3: Mean effect of planting pattern on plant parameters for the two seasons**

Planting Pattern	Plant height (cm)	Ear height (cm)	Ear length (cm)	1000 Kernel weight (g)	Grain yield (t ha <sup>-1</sup> )
One seed/hill	220.8 <sup>a</sup>	105.5 <sup>a</sup>	17.7 <sup>a</sup>	301.6 <sup>a</sup>	6.51 <sup>a</sup>
Two seeds/hill	210.8 <sup>b</sup>	98.2 <sup>a</sup>	16.3 <sup>b</sup>	289.3 <sup>a</sup>	5.65 <sup>b</sup>
<b>CV</b>	<b>2.8</b>	<b>9.8</b>	<b>3.7</b>	<b>3.1</b>	<b>9.4</b>
<b>LSD</b>	<b>8.04</b>	<b>13.71</b>	<b>0.61</b>	<b>16.36</b>	<b>0.58</b>

Column means followed by the same superscript are not significantly ( $P \leq 0.05$ ) different

**Table 4: Maize hybrid pair-wise ranking by farmers at physiological maturity stage**

Hybrid	Ear size	Early maturity	Ear height	Husk cover	Overall	Rank
WE2109	3.8	4.1	3.7	4.1	3.9	<b>2</b>
CKH08069	4.3	4.1	4.0	3.9	4.1	<b>1</b>
WE2111	3.6	3.4	3.8	3.6	3.6	<b>5</b>
PH4	3.7	3.8	3.9	3.3	3.7	<b>4</b>
WE1101	4.0	3.7	3.8	3.5	3.8	<b>3</b>

**Table 5: Maize hybrid pair-wise ranking by farmers at harvest**

Hybrid	Ear size	Grain filling	Ear rot	Grain texture	Overall	Rank
WE2109	3.5	3.4	3.5	3.2	3.4	<b>5</b>
CKH08069	4.5	4.3	4.1	3.1	4.0	<b>2</b>
WE2111	3.6	3.4	3.8	3.6	3.6	<b>4</b>
PH4	4.1	3.8	4.1	3.2	3.8	<b>3</b>
WE1101	4.6	4.1	4.4	4.5	4.4	<b>1</b>

## CONCLUSION

CKH08069 and WE1101 are suitable candidates for any seed company to commercialize because of their high yield and preference by farmers. Hybrid WE1101 has now been picked by two seed companies and is now being packaged with trade name “TEGO”. Planting pattern plays a role in determining the final yield and farmers should be encouraged to plant one seed per hill. It is important to involve farmers in varietal development since they provide an insight on their preferences for any particular variety.

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The authors acknowledge the financial support provided by CIMMYT through Water Efficient Maize for Africa (WEMA) and Drought Tolerant Maize for Africa (DTMA) projects. The Director General, Kenya Agricultural and Livestock Research Organization, and Centre Director, KALRO-Mtwapa for the support provided during the study.

## REFERENCES

- Alberta Agriculture and forestry. 2007: [http://www1.agric.gov.ab.ca/\\$department/deptdocs.nsf/all/agdex81](http://www1.agric.gov.ab.ca/$department/deptdocs.nsf/all/agdex81)
- Central Bureau of Statistics. 2002. Economic Survey. Nairobi: Ministry of Finance and Planning.
- Doss, C. R., Mwangi, W., Verkuijl, H., and De Groote, H. 2003. Adoption of Maize and Wheat Technologies in Eastern Africa: A Synthesis of the Findings of 22 Case Studies. CIMMYT Economics Working Paper 03-06. Mexico, D. F.: CIMMYT.
- DT MAIZE Vol. 4 No. 3. 2015. A Quarterly Bulletin of the Drought Tolerant Maize for Africa Project
- FAO. 2015. Global information and early warning system: Available at: [www.fao.org/giews/countrybrief/country.jsp?code=KEN](http://www.fao.org/giews/countrybrief/country.jsp?code=KEN)
- Inamula, L., Rehman, N., Shah, N., Arif, M., Siddiq, M. and Mian, I.A. 2011. Correlations among grain yield and yield attributes in maize hybrids at various nitrogen levels. *Sarhad J. Agric.* 27:531-538.
- Jaetzold, R. and Schmidt, H. 1983. Farm Management Handbook of Kenya, Volume IIC. East Kenya (Eastern and Coast Provinces). Farm Management Branch, Ministry of Agriculture, Nairobi.
- Kenya Agricultural Research Institute. 2005. Research Priorities for KARI Mtwapa mandate area (coastal lowland Kenya). KARI Mtwapa
- Ministry of Agriculture (MoA). 2004. The status of maize seed industry in Kenya: A value chain analysis. A Report Prepared in Collaboration with Kenya Institute for Public Policy Research and Analysis. Kenya Agricultural Research Institute and Tegemeo Institute.
- Muli, M.B., Gethi, J.G. and Kamau, G.M. 2000. The response of maize (*Zea mays* L.) varieties to fertilizer, processing and storage methods in coastal Kenya. Proceedings of the 7<sup>th</sup> KARI Scientific Conference, Nairobi. 13-17<sup>th</sup> November, 2000, p. 179-187.
- Muli, M.B., Muniu, F.K., Okoti, M. and Wamuongo, J. 2015. Mitigating adverse effects of climate change through water harvesting technologies, drought tolerant crops/varieties and irrigation in semi-arid Tana River county. Paper under review by Water International Journal for IDRC.
- Pingali, P.L. (Ed.). 2001. CIMMYT 1999-2000 World Maize Facts and Trends. Meeting World Maize Needs: Technological Opportunities and Priorities for the Public Sector. Mexico, D.F.: CIMMYT.
- Rafique, M., Hussain, A., Mahmood, T., Alvi, A.W., and Alvi, M.B. 2004. Heritability and interrelationships among grain yield and yield components in maize (*Zea mays* L.). *Int'l. J. Agric. and Biol.* 6(6) 1113-1114.
- Regenwetter, M.M., Dana, J., and Stober, C.P. 2011. Transitivity of preferences. *Psychological Review*, 2011 Vol 118. No. 1, 42-46
- Troyer, A. F.-Larkins, J.R. 1985. Selection for early flowering in corn: 10 late synthetics. *Crop Sci.* 25:695-697.
- Waiyaki, N., Mwakubo, S., Odhiambo, W., Nzuma J., Murithi F., Gamba P., Muhammad L., Nyongesa D., Nambiro E., King'ori, B., and Obunde P. 2006. Determinants of seed maize pricing in Kenya. Kenya Institute of Public Policy Research and Analysis. Nairobi Kenya. Discussion Paper No. 61.
- Wekesa, E., Mwangi, W., Verkuijl, H., Danda, K., and H. Degroote. 2003. Adoption of maize production technologies in the coastal lowlands of Kenya. Mexico, D.F.: CIMMYT.
- Zarei, B., Kahrizi, D., Alireza Aboughadareh P., and Sadeghi, F. 2012. Correlation and path coefficient analysis for determining interrelationships among grain yield and related characters in corn hybrids (*Zea mays* L.) *IJACS/2012/4-20*, 1519-1522.